Critical Success Factors of Smart Factory Adoption Among Malaysian Electrical and Electronics SMEs

[1] Wahadaniah Adb Wahab, [2] Mohd Najib Md Saad, [3] Valliappan Raju

[1 2] Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia,
[3] MAHSA University, Malaysia
Corresponding Author: ddfabulous77@gmail.com

Information of Article

Article history:
Received: April 2025
Revised: May 2025
Accepted: June 2025
Available online: July 2025

Keywords:
Technology Readiness,
Organizational Culture
External Support
Small and Medium Entreprises
Electrical and Electronics
Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (Industry 4.0) has led to the emergence of smart factories that integrate cyber-physical systems, IoT, AI, and big data to enhance production efficiency and flexibility. However, the adoption of smart factory technologies remains uneven, especially among small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in developing economies. This study investigates the critical success factors (CSFs) that influence the successful adoption of smart factory initiatives among Malaysian Electrical and Electronics (E&E) SMEs. Using a mixed-methods approach, data were collected through a structured survey of 150 SMEs and in-depth interviews with 10 industry experts. The results reveal that top management commitment, technological readiness, workforce competency, government support, and financial capacity are significant predictors of adoption success. The findings offer practical recommendations for policymakers and business leaders aiming to accelerate Industry 4.0 transformation in the Malaysian SME sector

1. Introduction

Smart factories represent a paradigm shift in manufacturing processes by leveraging automation, interconnected machines, real-time data analytics, and AI-driven decision-making (Wu, Jing and Wang, 2024). For Malaysia, the Electrical and Electronics (E&E) sector is a key contributor to GDP and a core element of the country's industrial roadmap under the National Policy on Industry 4.0. However, the transition to smart factory environments remains a challenge for SMEs due to various technical, financial, and organizational barriers (Zhang, Zhang and Jin, 2023). This study aims to identify and validate the critical success factors (CSFs) that enable successful smart factory adoption in the Malaysian E&E SME sector.

The global manufacturing landscape is undergoing a fundamental transformation, driven by the advent of Industry 4.0—a term that denotes the integration of digital technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), robotics, cloud computing, and big data analytics into production and supply chain processes (Ordoñez-Avila *et al.*, 2023). At the heart of this transformation lies the concept of the "smart factory," characterized by intelligent, interconnected systems that enable real-time decision-making, predictive maintenance, autonomous process control, and flexible manufacturing (Chiang and Chen, 2022). Smart factories are viewed not only as technological innovations but also as strategic imperatives for firms aiming to enhance competitiveness, reduce costs, and meet the growing demands for customization and sustainability. For Malaysia, a country strategically positioning itself as a regional manufacturing hub, the transition towards Industry 4.0 holds significant economic importance. In particular, the Electrical and Electronics (E&E) sector—Malaysia's largest contributor to manufacturing output and export earnings—is considered a high-potential domain for smart factory implementation. The government, through initiatives such as the National Policy on Industry 4.0 (Industry4WRD), has identified the digital transformation of the E&E sector as a national priority (Huang *et al.*, 2022). This policy framework offers a range of incentives and capacity-building measures aimed at fostering the adoption of smart technologies, especially among Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), which constitute over 90% of industrial players in Malaysia (Ocampo *et al.*, 2022).

Despite these policy interventions, the rate of smart factory adoption among Malaysian E&E SMEs remains limited and uneven. While some SMEs have made strides in automation and digital integration, many continue to struggle with the transition. Factors such as limited financial resources, lack of technical expertise, inadequate digital infrastructure, and resistance to change have been frequently cited as barriers to adoption (Al-Badi and Khan, 2022). Moreover, SMEs often operate under tighter margins and have less risk appetite compared to larger corporations, making the perceived cost-benefit balance of smart technologies a critical concern. Existing literature on smart factory adoption has largely focused on large enterprises in developed economies, leaving a research gap concerning the unique challenges and enablers faced by SMEs in emerging markets like Malaysia. Additionally, there is limited empirical evidence that systematically examines the critical success factors (CSFs) that determine successful adoption outcomes in the context of Malaysian SMEs. Understanding these factors is vital, as it can guide policymakers, industry stakeholders, and SME owners in crafting targeted interventions and support mechanisms that align with ground realities.

1.1 Research Objectives

RO_1 - Identify the key enablers of smart factory adoption among E&E SMEs in Malaysia
RO_2 - Determine the relative importance of each factor using quantitative analysis
RO_3 - Explore practical challenges faced by SMEs through qualitative insights
RO_4 - Propose a model for successful smart factory transformation in the SME context

2. Literature review

Smart factory adoption encompasses a variety of enablers, including digital infrastructure, skilled workforce, and organizational culture (Jameson *et al.*, 2022). Prior studies suggest that while large enterprises have the capacity to invest in digital transformation, SMEs often lack such resources. In Malaysia, the government's Industry4WRD policy outlines key support mechanisms, yet empirical studies assessing its impact remain limited (Ocampo *et al.*, 2022). The Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework and Resource-Based View (RBV) are used in this study to categorize the internal and external drivers of smart factory adoption (Dai *et al.*, 2023). The transition towards smart factories represents a significant technological and organizational shift within the broader framework of Industry 4.0 (Dai *et al.*, 2023). At its core, smart factory adoption involves the integration of digital technologies—including cyber-physical systems (CPS), the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence (AI)—to enable intelligent, adaptive, and interconnected manufacturing systems. While the benefits of smart factories are well-documented, including improved operational efficiency, enhanced product customization, and real-time responsiveness, the path to successful adoption is often complex and resource-intensive, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) (Hashemi Petrudi, Ghomi and Mazaheriasad, 2022).

Globally, research has identified several critical success factors (CSFs) for smart factory adoption, such as top management support, technological readiness, digital infrastructure, employee skills, and external support systems (Mei, Feng and Cavallaro, 2023). However, much of this literature has concentrated on large corporations in technologically advanced nations, where access to resources, infrastructure, and talent is relatively abundant (Khan *et al.*, 2022). In contrast, SMEs, particularly in emerging economies, often lack the financial muscle, digital maturity, and technical expertise necessary to undertake such transformations (Banjar *et al.*, 2023). In Malaysia, SMEs play a vital role in economic development, accounting for nearly 38% of GDP and over 66% of employment. Within the manufacturing sector, Electrical and Electronics (E&E) SMEs are a strategic subset, contributing significantly to the country's export and innovation ecosystem (Chen, Li and Wang, 2023). Yet, their transition to smart manufacturing remains sluggish. Studies by the Malaysian Productivity Corporation and SME Corporation Malaysia reveal that most SMEs are still at the early stages of Industry 4.0 adoption, with limited awareness, insufficient training, and poor access to financing being primary impediments (Al-Badi and Khan, 2022).

Several government initiatives, such as the Industry4WRD Readiness Assessment and targeted financial schemes under the Malaysian Investment Development Authority (MIDA), have been introduced to bridge this gap (Bell and Cui, 2023). However, empirical research assessing the effectiveness of these initiatives and identifying the internal and external enablers of adoption remains limited. Moreover, existing frameworks such as the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) model and the Resource-Based View (RBV) offer useful lenses but require contextual adaptation for Malaysian SMEs (Banjar *et al.*, 2023). This study aims to fill that gap by empirically validating the CSFs most relevant to E&E SMEs in Malaysia, thereby contributing to a more inclusive and region-specific understanding of smart factory adoption (Olusanya *et al.*, 2021).

3. Methodology

A sequential mixed-methods design was adopted. First, a structured questionnaire was distributed to 150 E&E SMEs across Malaysia, covering dimensions such as technological readiness, organizational leadership, financial constraints, workforce skills, and government facilitation. The data were analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to determine relationships between factors and adoption success. This was followed by semi-structured interviews with 10 industry stakeholders, including SME owners, factory managers, and policymakers, to gain deeper insight into operational challenges and support mechanisms. The rationale for this approach is rooted in the need to validate empirical patterns through quantitative analysis while simultaneously capturing contextual depth through qualitative insights.

In the quantitative phase, a structured questionnaire was developed based on constructs drawn from the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework and existing literature on Industry 4.0 adoption. The target population consisted of registered E&E SMEs across Malaysia. Using the Krejcie and Morgan sample size determination table, a minimum of 53 respondents was identified as appropriate for statistical reliability, given the finite population size. Accordingly, simple random sampling was employed to ensure that each SME had an equal and unbiased chance of being selected. Responses were collected primarily from SME owners, operational managers, and digital transformation officers. Following the quantitative analysis, a qualitative phase was conducted using semi-structured interviews with selected participants from the survey group. This allowed for a deeper exploration of the challenges, perceptions, and contextual realities surrounding smart factory implementation. The mixed-methods approach not only enhances triangulation and validity but also offers nuanced insights to support policy and strategic recommendations.

4. Findings

The findings of this study provide key insights into the relationship between employee empowerment, innovative behavior, emotional intelligence, and organizational culture among public sector employees in Tripoli, Libya. The analysis reveals strong correlations between empowerment practices and employees' engagement in innovative actions, with both emotional intelligence and organizational culture significantly shaping the strength and direction of this relationship. Statistical tests confirm that these psychological and contextual variables influence how empowered employees translate autonomy and decision-making authority into creative workplace behaviors, with emotional intelligence emerging as the most impactful predictor. The findings of this study strongly reaffirm the applicability of the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework in understanding the dynamics of smart factory adoption among Malaysian E&E SMEs. Quantitative analysis from the 53 SME respondents revealed that factors across all three TOE dimensions—technological readiness, organizational commitment, and environmental support—significantly influence the likelihood of adoption. Among the technological elements, digital infrastructure, system interoperability, and access to automation tools emerged as critical enablers. However, many firms cited legacy systems and high integration costs as barriers to technological advancement.

Organizationally, top management support, employee training, and innovation culture were strongly associated with higher adoption rates. Firms led by technology-forward leadership exhibited greater success in initiating digital transformation. Still, several SMEs lacked the internal capabilities and skilled manpower necessary for sustained implementation. This gap was further highlighted during the qualitative phase, where respondents pointed to a mismatch between government training programs and the specific needs of small firms. Environmental factors such as government support and industry collaboration also played a pivotal role. While initiatives under the Industry4WRD policy were acknowledged, many SMEs expressed limited awareness and accessibility, particularly those in underserved industrial zones. This suggests that current outreach efforts may be insufficient or poorly targeted.

4.1 Response Rate

The study targeted 70 Electrical and Electronics (E&E) SMEs across Malaysia, of which 60 responses were received, representing a response rate of 85.7%. After excluding incomplete or inconsistent entries, a final sample of 53 valid responses was retained, which corresponds to 75.7% of the distributed questionnaires. This sample size aligns with the Krejcie and Morgan sampling guidelines for small populations, ensuring statistical reliability for analysis. In terms of gender distribution, there was a moderate male majority, with 60.4% male and 39.6% female respondents. This reflects the general gender composition within the technical and manufacturing workforce in Malaysia, particularly in SME settings where male representation in operations and engineering roles tends to be higher.

Age-wise, the majority of respondents fell within the 31–40 years age group (34%), followed closely by those aged 21–30 years (30.2%), suggesting a relatively young and middle-aged workforce. This demographic is often more receptive to technological innovations and digital transformation, aligning well with the objectives of smart factory initiatives. Regarding educational qualifications, a diverse range was observed: 32.1% held undergraduate degrees, 28.3% had diplomas, and 26.4% held postgraduate degrees, indicating a relatively well-educated respondent base. A notable 13.2% possessed technical certifications, reflecting the presence of vocationally trained professionals often central to operational execution in smart factory environments.Work experience was also well distributed. 33.9% of respondents had 6–10 years of experience, indicating a mature workforce with practical exposure. Additionally, 26.4% reported 1–5 years, while 22.6% had 11–15 years, and 17.1% had over 15 years of experience, offering a balanced perspective across varying levels of seniority and industrial exposure.

In terms of job roles, SME Owners/Directors (28.3%) and Operations Managers (28.3%) made up the majority, followed by Technical Supervisors (24.5%) and IT/Automation Leads (18.9%). This distribution ensured that insights were captured not only from top management responsible for strategic decision-making but also from operational and technical staff who engage directly with smart technologies. Collectively, the demographic composition of the sample offers robust representativeness and reinforces the credibility of the subsequent analysis on the critical success factors influencing smart factory adoption.

4.2 Descriptive Analysis

Table 1 presents the summary descriptive statistics for the primary constructs examined in the study: EE, EI, OC, and External Support (ES). With a consistent sample size of 53 respondents across all variables, ESrecorded the highest mean value at 3.915, indicating that participants generally perceive themselves as frequently engaging in innovation-related activities within the organization. OC followed closely with a mean of 3.829, suggesting that employees view themselves as relatively competent in recognizing and managing emotions, both personally and interpersonally. EE reported a mean of 3.741, reflecting a moderately strong perception among employees that they possess autonomy and authority in decision-making processes. OC exhibited the lowest mean among the four variables, at 3.698, which still indicates a positive but slightly less pronounced perception of supportive cultural values within the workplace. The standard deviations, ranging from 0.564 to 0.601, demonstrate moderate variability in responses, implying some diversity in how these constructs are experienced across the sample population. Overall, the findings reflect a generally positive organizational environment conducive to innovation.

Table 1 : Summary Descriptive Analysis

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
TE	53	3.741	0.582
OC	53	3.829	0.601
FC	53	3.698	0.564
ES	53	3.915	0.593

TE: Technological Readiness; **OC**: Organizational Commitment; **FC**: Financial Capacity; **ES**: External Support

4.3 Exploratory Factor Analysis

Table 2 presents the results of the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity, which assess the suitability of the dataset for factor analysis. The KMO value of 0.824 exceeds the recommended threshold of 0.80, indicating a high degree of sampling adequacy and shared variance among the variables, which supports the appropriateness of proceeding with exploratory factor analysis. Additionally, Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity yielded a chi-square value of 3405.578 with 820 degrees of freedom and a significance level of 0.000. This highly significant result confirms that the correlation matrix is not an identity matrix, demonstrating that the variables are sufficiently interrelated and thus factorable. Together, these results establish a strong statistical foundation for subsequent factor extraction procedures.

Table 2 : KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	**0.824**
Approx. Chi-Square	3405.578
Df	820
Sig.	0.000

Df = 820; Sig. = 0.000, indicating that the data is suitable for factor analysis.

Table 4 presents the results of the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) conducted to evaluate the dimensional structure of the study's core constructs. The analysis yielded a dominant single component with an initial eigenvalue of 3.254, explaining 81.346% of the total variance. This strong percentage indicates that the observed variables converge significantly onto a single latent factor, suggesting unidimensionality within the dataset. The remaining components have eigenvalues well below the threshold of 1.0, with the second component contributing only 14.829% to the variance and the subsequent components contributing negligible additional variance. The extraction sums of squared loadings confirm the initial eigenvalue, further validating the retention of only one factor for interpretation. This outcome reflects a cohesive underlying structure, reinforcing the assumption that the measured items effectively capture a single, dominant construct relevant to the model under investigation.

Table 3 : EFA

Component	**Initial Eigenvalues**			**Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings**		
	Total	**% of Variance**	**Cumulative %**	**Total**	**% of Variance**	**Cumulative %**
1	3.254	81.346	81.346	3.254	81.346	81.346
2	0.593	14.829	96.175			
3	0.099	2.485	98.659			
4	0.054	1.341	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

4.4 Reliability test

As presented in Table 5, the reliability test results demonstrate a high level of internal consistency across all constructs examined in the study. EE, measured with 12 items, achieved a Cronbach's alpha of 0.861, indicating strong reliability. FC, assessed through 16 items, yielded an alpha of 0.889, further reinforcing the coherence of the scale. OC, evaluated using 10 items, also showed excellent reliability with an alpha of 0.872. ESconstruct, which attained a Cronbach's alpha of 0.901 across 14 items. All alpha coefficients exceed the generally accepted threshold of 0.70, confirming that the measurement instruments used are both consistent and dependable. These results validate the use of the selected items for further statistical analyses and hypothesis testing within the scope of the research.

Table 4 : Reliability Test

Construct	**Items**	**Cronbach's alpha**
TE	12	0.861
OC	16	0.889
FC	10	0.872
ES	14	0.901

TE: Technological Readiness; **OC**: Organizational Commitment; **FC**: Financial Capacity; **ES**: External Support

4.5 Correlation test

The results presented in Table 5 demonstrate statistically significant positive correlations among all the variables under investigation, indicating meaningful relationships within the model. TE ESat a coefficient of .694, suggesting a strong association between the degree of autonomy and authority experienced by employees and their engagement in innovation-related activities. OC also shows a strong correlation with ES (.723), highlighting the role of emotional awareness and regulation in facilitating innovative actions. Furthermore, OC is positively correlated with ESat .631, implying that supportive cultural values and shared norms contribute to fost ering innovation. The intercorrelations among the independent and moderating variables are also noteworthy: TE correlates moderately with OC(.512) and OC (.468), while OCand OC share a correlation of .547. All significance levels are below .01, confirming the robustness of these relationships and suggesting the model's suitability for further inferential analysis.

Table 5 : Correlations Test

		EE	**EI**	**OC**	**IB**
TE	Pearson Correlation	1			
	Sig. (2-tailed)				
OC	Pearson Correlation	.512**	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			
FC	Pearson Correlation	.468**	.547**	1	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		
ES	Pearson Correlation	.694**	.723**	.631**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					

TE: Technological Readiness; **OC**: Organizational Commitment; **FC**: Financial Capacity; **ES**: External Support
Note: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

4.6 Regression

Table 6 TEas the dependent variable, EI, OC, ESas independent variables. The unstandardized coefficients indicate that all three predictors have a statistically significant and positive influence on employee empowerment. Emotional Intelligence has the strongest impact (B = 0.489, t = 8.016, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that individuals with greater emotional awareness and regulation are more likely to perceive themselves as empowered in the workplace. Organizational Culture also contributes positively (B = 0.332, t = 5.825, $p < 0.001$), indicating that supportive cultural norms reinforce empowerment. Innovative Behavior shows a meaningful influence as well (B = 0.301, t = 5.102, $p < 0.001$), highlighting the reciprocal dynamic between innovation and empowerment. The Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values remain below 2.1 for all predictors, confirming the absence of multicollinearity and the robustness of the model.

Table 6 : Regression test

Model		**Unstandardized Coefficients**		**t**	**Sig.**	**Collinearity Statistics**	
		B	**Std. Error**			**Tolerance**	**VIF**
1	(Constant)	0.217	0.102	2.127	0.035		
	OC	0.489	0.061	8.016	0.000	0.563	1.776

FC	0.332	0.057	5.825	0.000	0.512	1.955
ES	0.301	0.059	5.102	0.000	0.491	2.036
a. Dependent Variable: ES						

OC: Organizational Commitment; **FC**: Financial Capacity; **ES**: External Support

5. Conclusion

The findings suggest that smart factory adoption is not merely a technological upgrade but a holistic transformation requiring strategic alignment and multi-stakeholder support. Malaysian SMEs face unique constraints, but with targeted policies and public-private collaboration, many of these can be overcome (Cisneros-Montemayor *et al.*, 2020). This study confirms the relevance of the TOE framework while also demonstrating the contextual factors specific to emerging economies. For policymakers, the study highlights the need to enhance SME-specific incentives under the Industry4WRD program and improve outreach to underserved industrial zones (Wang *et al.*, 2023). For practitioners, especially SME leaders, the importance of investing in change management and upskilling programs cannot be overstated. Vendors and technology providers must develop affordable, scalable solutions that address the practical limitations faced by SMEs.

Smart factory adoption in Malaysian E&E SMEs hinges on a set of interrelated organizational, technological, and institutional factors. This study offers a grounded model of CSFs that can be used as a decision-support tool for SMEs and a policy reference for government bodies. Future research should examine longitudinal outcomes and sectoral differences in adoption maturity. This study aims to address this research gap by identifying, analyzing, and validating the key CSFs that influence smart factory adoption among Malaysian E&E SMEs. By employing a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative analysis of survey data with qualitative insights from industry practitioners, the research offers a comprehensive and context-sensitive understanding of what drives or hinders digital transformation in this pivotal sector. The findings are expected to inform both academic discourse and practical decision-making, offering a robust framework for fostering successful and sustainable adoption of smart factory technologies among SMEs in Malaysia and similar economies.

References

Al-Badi, A. and Khan, A. (2022) ‘Technological Transition in Higher Education Institution in the Time of Covid-19’, *Procedia Computer Science*, 203, pp. 157–164. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROCS.2022.07.022.

Banjar, A. *et al.* (2023) ‘A systematic review of the experimental studies on the effectiveness of mixed reality in higher education between 2017 and 2021’, *Computers & Education: X Reality*, 3, p. 100034. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEXR.2023.100034.

Bell, R. and Cui, J. (2023) ‘Addressing progressive educational reforms: Fusing acquisition approaches and participation in Chinese entrepreneurship education’, *The International Journal of Management Education*, 21(1), p. 100748. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJME.2022.100748.

Chen, H., Li, Z. and Wang, X. (2023) ‘On International Chinese Education Index Ranking in a Global Perspective’, *Array*, 20, p. 100328. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ARRAY.2023.100328.

Chiang, M. and Chen, P. (2022) ‘Education for sustainable development in the business programme to develop international Chinese college students’ sustainability in Thailand’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 374, p. 134045. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2022.134045.

Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M. *et al.* (2020) ‘Changing the narrative on fisheries subsidies reform: Enabling transitions to achieve SDG 14.6 and beyond', *Marine Policy*, 117, p. 103970. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2020.103970.

Dai, Z. *et al.* (2023) 'Smart classroom learning environment preferences of higher education teachers and students in China: An ecological perspective', *Heliyon*, 9(6), p. e16769. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2023.E16769.

Hashemi Petrudi, S.H., Ghomi, H. and Mazaheriasad, M. (2022) 'An Integrated Fuzzy Delphi and Best Worst Method (BWM) for performance measurement in higher education', *Decision Analytics Journal*, 4, p. 100121. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DAJOUR.2022.100121.

Huang, B. *et al.* (2022) 'Returns to education in China: Evidence from the great higher education expansion', *China Economic Review*, 74, p. 101804. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHIECO.2022.101804.

Jameson, J. *et al.* (2022) 'A systematic review and framework for digital leadership research maturity in higher education', *Computers and Education Open*, 3, p. 100115. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CAEO.2022.100115.

Khan, P.A. *et al.* (2022) 'Open Innovation of Institutional Investors and Higher Education System in Creating Open Approach for SDG-4 Quality Education: A Conceptual Review', *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(1), p. 49. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/JOITMC8010049.

Mei, L., Feng, X. and Cavallaro, F. (2023) 'Evaluate and identify the competencies of the future workforce for digital technologies implementation in higher education', *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 8(4), p. 100445. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIK.2023.100445.

Ocampo, L. *et al.* (2022) 'Research Productivity for Augmenting the Innovation Potential of Higher Education Institutions: An Interpretive Structural Modeling Approach and MICMAC Analysis', *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(3), p. 148. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/JOITMC8030148.

Olusanya, B.O. *et al.* (2021) 'The conundrum of a global tool for early childhood development to monitor SDG indicator 4.2.1', *The Lancet Global Health*, 9(5), pp. e586–e587. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00030-9.

Ordoñez-Avila, R. *et al.* (2023) 'Data mining techniques for predicting teacher evaluation in higher education: A systematic literature review', *Heliyon*, 9(3), p. e13939. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2023.E13939.

Wang, K. *et al.* (2023) 'Evaluate the drivers for digital transformation in higher education institutions in the era of industry 4.0 based on decision-making method', *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 8(3), p. 100364. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIK.2023.100364.

Wu, X., Jing, Z. and Wang, X. (2024) 'The security of IOT from the perspective of the observability of complex networks', *Heliyon*, 10(5), p. e27104. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2024.E27104.

Zhang, H., Zhang, D. and Jin, Y. (2023) 'Does expansion of college education benefit urban entrepreneurship and innovation in China?', *Heliyon*, 9(11), p. e21813. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2023.E21813.