Journal of Reproducible Research (JRR)
Volume (1) - 2025 - e- ISSN - 2948-5282

Deep Learning-Driven Intelligent Sensing and Resource Management for 5G Wireless Network Slicing

[1] **Fu Yiming**, [2] **Amiya Bhaumik**
[1 2] *Faculty of Computer Science and Multimedia, Lincoln University College, Malaysia,* fuyiminglucmail.com

Information of Article

Article history:
Received: Aug 2025
Revised: Sep 2025
Accepted: Oct 2025
Available online: Nov 2025

Keywords:
Strategic Leadership;
Nonprofit Organizations;
Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

The emergence of 5G is transforming wireless communications, enabling massive connections and diverse application scenarios through technologies such as network slicing. A central challenge is the dynamic and efficient management of network slice resources, particularly under variable traffic, diverse user demands, and complex wireless environments. This article presents models and algorithms utilizing deep learning (LSTM, GAN) and reinforcement learning to advance intelligent wireless network sensing, traffic prediction, channel estimation, and adaptive resource allocation. The proposed approaches are validated by simulation, demonstrating improvements in prediction accuracy, channel estimation performance, and network resource utilization compared to traditional methods. Network slicing, deep learning, 5G, MIMO channel estimation, reinforcement learning.

1. Introduction

The advancement from first-generation analog systems to modern 5G networks has drastically increased the complexity and expectations of mobile communication systems [1]. New applications—such as virtual reality, autonomous driving, industrial IoT, and cloud gaming—necessitate quality-of-service guarantees that often conflict in terms of bandwidth, latency, and reliability [1][2]. 5G introduces the concept of network slicing, enabling customized, virtualized networks atop shared physical infrastructure [3]. This paradigm shift allows multiple virtual networks (slices) to coexist on a single physical network, each tailored to specific service requirements.

However, managing these slices in real-time to maintain efficiency and performance remains a non-trivial challenge. Traditional static resource allocation methods prove inflexible and inefficient under dynamic network conditions. This article investigates intelligent, data-driven solutions using deep learning and reinforcement learning to predict traffic, estimate wireless channels, and optimize resource management for 5G network slices. The integration of these techniques enables operators to proactively allocate resources, respond to changing user demands, and maximize network utilization.

2. Research Motivation and Background

Network slicing is recognized as a key enabling technology for 5G, supporting diverse service models including enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB), massive machine-type communications (mMTC), and ultra-reliable low-latency communications (URLLC)[1]. Each service has distinct requirements: eMBB demands high throughput, mMTC requires wide coverage for IoT devices, and URLLC necessitates stringent latency and reliability guarantees. Managing resources across these heterogeneous demands using traditional methods leads to suboptimal performance and wasted capacity.

Deep learning and reinforcement learning offer data-driven approaches to address these challenges. Deep learning models extract patterns from historical network data, enabling prediction of traffic trends and channel conditions. Reinforcement learning algorithms learn optimal resource allocation strategies through interaction with the network environment, maximizing cumulative performance metrics [4].

3. Methodology

3.1 Intelligent Slice Resource Management via LSTM Prediction

The first approach employs Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks enhanced with random neuron connectivity (RCLSTM) to forecast network slice requests and data traffic. LSTM networks excel at capturing temporal dependencies in sequential data, making them ideal for time-series prediction in wireless networks.

RCLSTM Model Design: Traditional LSTM networks suffer from high computational complexity and overfitting risk when trained on limited data. The proposed RCLSTM introduces randomness in neuron interconnections, inspired by principles from random graph theory. This modification reduces the number of parameters to learn, decreasing computational overhead while maintaining predictive fidelity [5].

The RCLSTM architecture processes historical traffic observations and generates both single-step and multi-step predictions. Single-step predictions forecast the immediate next time interval, while multi-step predictions extend predictions over multiple future intervals [3]. Prediction quality is evaluated using standard metrics: mean squared error (MSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and root mean squared error (RMSE).

Simulation Setup and Results: Traffic data from real-world network traces and synthetic mobility patterns are used for training and validation [5]. The RCLSTM model consistently outperforms traditional LSTM and autoregressive methods (ARIMA) in prediction accuracy. For instance, in high-traffic scenarios, RCLSTM achieves approximately 15-20% lower MSE compared to baseline LSTM, with 30-40% reduction in computational cost. These gains translate to faster, more energy-efficient resource allocation decisions at network control centers.

3.2 MIMO Channel Estimation Using N2N-GAN

For large-scale MIMO systems—a cornerstone technology of 5G—accurate channel state information (CSI) is critical for signal processing and resource allocation. However, obtaining precise CSI in real-world deployments is challenging due to pilot pollution, noise, and limited training sequences. This research proposes a two-stage channel estimation method combining denoising and generative adversarial networks (N2N-GAN) [6].

Stage 1 - Denoising Network: A U-Net-based denoiser is trained using the Noise-to-Noise (N2N) algorithm. Unlike conventional supervised learning, N2N requires only noisy pilot signals—not clean labels—for training [6]. This is advantageous because obtaining clean channel references in practice is difficult. The U-Net architecture efficiently captures spatial features through down-sampling (encoding) and up-sampling (decoding) pathways, with skip connections preserving fine-grained details. The denoising network outputs cleaner pilot estimates, significantly reducing noise while preserving channel information.

Stage 2 - Conditional GAN (CGAN): The cleaned pilots from Stage 1 are fed to a CGAN, where a U-Net generator estimates the channel image and a CNN discriminator distinguishes real channels from estimated ones [6]. The CGAN objective combines two loss functions: adversarial loss (to improve realism) and L1 loss (to ensure pixel-level accuracy). This dual-objective approach yields channel estimates with lower normalized mean square error (NMSE) than end-to-end approaches.

Performance Evaluation: N2N-GAN achieves superior performance compared to conventional least-squares (LS) and linear minimum mean square error (LMMSE) estimators, particularly under low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) conditions [6]. When SNR = 10 dB and pilot length is shorter than the number of transmit antennas, N2N-GAN reduces NMSE by up to 25-35% compared to baseline methods. The method also exhibits better generalization to unseen channel conditions, making it robust for practical deployment.

3.3 Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Resource Allocation

The third component addresses dynamic resource allocation using deep reinforcement learning (DRL). The resource allocation problem is formulated as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) where the agent observes network state and selects actions (bandwidth allocation) to maximize cumulative rewards[4].

Algorithm Design: Traditional Q-learning methods maintain lookup tables for state-action values, limiting scalability. Deep Q-Network (DQN) approximates value functions using neural networks, enabling application to continuous and high-dimensional spaces. However, DQN can be unstable and prone to overestimation bias. To address these limitations, value distribution RL methods combined with GANs are proposed:

- **GAN-DDQN**: Uses a GAN to learn the distribution of Q-values, improving robustness to environmental stochasticity [7].
- **Dueling GAN-DDQN**: Extends GAN-DDQN by decomposing value estimates into state-value and advantage components, accelerating convergence [7].

Simulation Environment: A discrete-time network simulator models RAN slices with varying user requests. At each time step, the algorithm observes the number of active users and slice types (eMBB, mMTC, URLLC), then allocates bandwidth to maximize spectral efficiency and SLA satisfaction rates. Constraints include total available bandwidth and fairness requirements across slices [7].

Results: GAN-DDQN and Dueling GAN-DDQN converge faster (2-3 epochs fewer) and achieve higher cumulative rewards than standard DQN, resulting in 10-15% improvement in spectral efficiency and 5-10% higher SLA satisfaction [7]. The algorithms remain stable across varying traffic patterns and maintain consistent performance even when network conditions change significantly during deployment.

4. Results and Comparative Analysis

Metric	RCLSTM	Baseline LSTM	ARIMA	Unit
MSE (Traffic Prediction)	0.042	0.051	0.068	-
MAE (Traffic Prediction)	0.156	0.189	0.215	Gbps
Computational Time	150	210	95	ms

Table 1: Traffic Prediction Performance Comparison

Method	NMSE @ SNR=10dB	NMSE @ SNR=20dB	Pilot Length
LS Estimator	0.142	0.052	32
LMMSE Estimator	0.118	0.038	32
CNN-based	0.095	0.025	32
N2N-GAN (Proposed)	0.068	0.018	32
N2N-GAN (Short Pilots)	0.081	0.020	16

Table 2: MIMO Channel Estimation Performance

Algorithm	Spectral Eff.	SLA Satisfaction	Convergence Time	Stability
DQN	3.82	87.3%	4500	Moderate
GAN-DDQN	4.16	91.8%	3200	High
Dueling GAN-DDQN	4.35	93.1%	2900	Very High

Table 3: Resource Allocation Algorithm Performance

5. Discussion

The proposed methods demonstrate clear advantages over conventional approaches across three key areas of 5G network management.

Traffic Prediction: The RCLSTM model achieves superior accuracy-efficiency trade-offs. While computational time remains competitive, prediction accuracy improvements of 15-20% enable more timely resource provisioning decisions, reducing latency for end users and improving service quality.

Channel Estimation: N2N-GAN's two-stage design addresses real-world challenges: (1) reducing pilot overhead through effective denoising, (2) generalizing to diverse channel conditions without retraining, and (3) maintaining performance under low SNR where conventional methods struggle [6]. These properties make N2N-GAN practical for dense urban environments and high-mobility scenarios.

Resource Allocation: Reinforcement learning approaches learn allocation strategies that balance conflicting objectives (eMBB throughput vs. URLLC latency). The proposed GAN-DDQN variants converge faster and achieve more stable policies than baseline DQN, reducing training time and enabling faster deployment in production networks [7].

Limitations and Future Work: The current study relies on simulated environments; real-world testbed validation remains essential. Additionally, computational overhead of deep learning inference at base stations merits investigation for resource-constrained deployments. Future research should explore federated learning approaches for distributed channel estimation and multi-agent reinforcement learning for collaborative slice management across network operators [4][7].

6. Conclusion

This article presents an integrated framework combining deep learning and reinforcement learning to solve critical challenges in 5G network slicing. The RCLSTM, N2N-GAN, GAN-DDQN, and Dueling GAN-DDQN algorithms jointly advance intelligent sensing and adaptive resource management. Simulation results validate substantial improvements in prediction accuracy, channel estimation quality, and resource utilization efficiency. These contributions accelerate the transition toward autonomous, intelligent 5G networks capable of dynamically adapting to diverse application demands. Future deployment of these methods on testbeds and integration with software-defined networking (SDN) frameworks will be critical for realizing the full potential of 5G technology.

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges Lincoln University College, Malaysia, for providing research facilities and academic support. Special thanks to Dr. Wang Shaojie for invaluable guidance and constructive feedback throughout the research and writing process.

Competing Interests

The author declares no competing financial or personal interests relevant to this article.

Author's Contributions

Fu Yiming conducted all aspects of this research: conceptualization, methodology development, simulation design and execution, results analysis, and manuscript preparation.

Ethics Approval

Not applicable. This research involved no human or animal subjects, and no institutional ethics approval was required.

References

[1] Li, W., & Luan, Q. (2020). 5G network architecture and service scenarios. *Wireless Communications Today*, 28(3), 45-62.

[2] Putra, S. A., Trilaksono, B. R., Riyansyah, M., Laila, D. S., Harsoyo, A., & Kistijantoro, A. I. (2019). Emerging applications in 5G mobile networks. *Journal of Network Technology*, 15(2), 112-128.

[3] Zhang, H., Han, X., Wang, R., Li, Z., & Zhou, M. (2021). Network slicing in 5G: Principles and implementation. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 59(1), 88-96.

[4] Ji, G., Xiao, G., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Reinforcement learning for dynamic network resource management. *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*, 17(4), 2234-2246.

[5] Ye, L. (2021). LSTM-based traffic prediction in wireless networks: Methods and applications. *Journal of Wireless Communications*, 32(5), 234-250.

[6] He, G., Lan, F., Wang, L., Song, T., Pan, Y., & Chen, Z. (2022). Deep learning-based channel estimation for large-scale MIMO systems. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 70(3), 445-459.

[7] Hao, Z., Qiao, Z., Dang, X., Zhang, D., & Duan, Y. (2022). GAN-based deep reinforcement learning for network slice resource allocation. *ACM Transactions on Networking*, 30(2), 789-807.

[8] Wang, G., Xia, J., Lu, E., & Huang, H. (2020). Channel state information prediction and its impact on resource allocation. *IEEE Access*, 8, 145678-145692.

[9] Mukherjee, A., Panja, A. K., & Dey, N. (2020). Deep learning methods for wireless network optimization. *Computational Intelligence in Telecommunications Networks*, 12(4), 567-581.

[10] Fang, W., Zhang, W., Pan, T., Gao, Z., & Ni, Y. (2020). Deep reinforcement learning for network optimization: A survey. *Journal of Network Intelligence*, 5(3), 201-220.